



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/765,241            | 01/26/2004    | Georg A. Vihos       | V1H0S 0101 C          | 1739             |
| 7.                    | 590 08/06/    | 04                   | EXAM                  | INER             |
| MICK A. NYLANDER      |               |                      | DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE |                  |
| Suite 225             |               |                      |                       |                  |
| 12745 S. SAGI         | NAW BLG # 806 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER          |                  |
| GRAND BLANC, MI 48439 |               |                      | 3611                  | -                |

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.           | Applicant(s)                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/765,241                | VIHOS, GEORG A.             |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner                  | Art Unit                    |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cassandra Davis           | 3611                        |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _   |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | This action is non-final. |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo   |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5, 13-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 6 and 8-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 7,11 and 12 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |                           |                             |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:                 | atom Application (1 10-102) |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, drawn to figures 1-4; Group II, drawn to figures 5-6; and Group III, drawn to figures 7-8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Claims 1-5 and 13-20 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in parent application

Application/Control Number: 10/765,241 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

09/925,064, Paper No. 8, filed April 11, 2003. The present prosecution is being continued on the invention elected and prosecuted by applicant in the prior application.

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. **The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided**. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: extending arm 30 page 6, line 30. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the oxygen indicator filament and the top and bottom sealant overflow canal must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,241 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimaru, Japanese Patent JP411103989A in view of Vacovsky et al, U. S. Patent 6,158,828. Ishimaru teaches a picture frame comprising a glass front panel 2 (transparent top section), a bottom panel (bottom section) 3, and side sections 1 sealed adjacent the front and bottom panel to define a space 11a. At least on of the side sections has a channel 10 in communication with the space 11a, wherein an inert gas can be injected into the space. Ishimaru does not teach the side section having an extending arm. Vacovsky teaches a display comprising a transparent front Plexiglas (acrylic) section 36, a bottom section 35, and side sections 33 adjacent the front and bottom section. The side sections have extending arms (not labeled), having a top segment positioned in front of the front section 36 and a bottom section behind the top segment, wherein a is cavity defined between the top, bottom, and side section for receiving a display article 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the picture frame taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/765,241 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

Ishimaru with a side or frame sections having an extending arm as taught by Vacovsky to provide a means to more securely retain the transparent panel within the frame.

- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimaru in view of Vacovsky as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Gipson, U. S. Patent 4,646,914. Gipson teaches a sealed enclosure for a display object wherein the air space inside the enclosure is purged with pressurized inert gas such as argon or nitrogen. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to use an inert gas taught as by Ishimaru comprising Argon to provide a means to control the amount of moisture within the container and preserve the display article.
- 7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimaru in view of Vacovsky as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Payson, U. S. Patent 3,983,650. Payson teaches a chart holder comprising a transparent flat acrylic cover panel 16, wherein the panel has an ultraviolet stabilized acrylic. The acrylic cover panel will not cause yellowing in the panel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the transparent panels or section taught by Ishimaru and Vacovsky of an ultraviolet acrylic as taught by Payson to provide a means to protect the item being display from damage from the sun.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 11, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD August 3, 2004